MV-Sports A Motion and Vision Sensor Integration-Based Sports Analysis System

Cheng Zhang¹, Fan Yang², Gang Li¹, Qiang Zhai², Yi Jiang², Dong Xuan¹ zhang.7804@osu.edu

¹Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, The Ohio State University ²DeepCode Robotics Co., Ltd.



Sports Analytics

Professional clubs: tactic and coaching



Common playfield: training



Live sports broadcasting: statistics



Sports robot: decision making





Sports Analytics

Professional clubs: tactic and coaching

Live sports broadcasting: statistics

Need Sports Analysis System

Common playfield: training



Sports robot: decision making



The Anatomy of the Sports Analysis System



Human, data, infrastructure connection!



Today and the Future

- Today things are controlled by human
 Video records, offline bio-data analytics, etc.
- The future: accurate, real-time, and autonomous



Human-Centric: Activity Analysis





Fast Moving Object: Ball Tracking

Overall Arbitrary: Scene Understanding



Our Focus: Tennis as a Case Study MV-Sports: System Setup

- **Task 1:** Player action recognition
- Task 2: High speed moving object tracking and prediction





Three Requirements

- Accurate and fine-grained analysis
- Real-Time
- Low-Cost and non-intrusive

This Paper Asks:

Can we build an affordable and easy-to-deploy system (cheap sensors and COTS devices)

to achieve accurate and real-time sports analysis?



Existing Solutions Not Suitable

Vision sensor-based

- Hawk-Eye
 - Expensive (\$500k) and not real-time

Wireless sensor-based

- Wearable device (Zepp, Sony, etc)
 - Not robust and simpleness



Hawk-Eye: High-end cameras

- Embedded wireless sensor (*iBall, NSDI'17*)
 - Too intrusive for broad adoption



Zepp: Wearable IMU



iBall: IMU+UWB



Core Opportunities

- Observations
 - A majority of existing systems for sports analytics are built with cameras OR wearable devices
 - The motion data (M) is more lightweight and digital quantized
 - The visual data (V) is more precise and intuitionistic
- Key ideas
 - Breaking cost barrier and bridge sensor gap
 - The complementary characteristics of the motion and vision sensors



Core Opportunities

Observations

A majority of existing systems for sports analytics are
 MV-Sports: Sports Analytics
 based on Motion and Vision
 Key ideas Signal Sensing

- Breaking cost barrier and bridge sensor gap

The complementary characteristics of the motion and vision sensors



MV-Sports: Overview





Task 1: Player Action Recognition

- Goal
 - To identify five typical tennis shots, i.e., serve, forehand topspin, forehand slice, backhand topspin, and backhand slice

Challenges

- Conventional video highlight approaches are too heavy!
- M and V data alone cannot classify action type well!



Solutions: Action Segmentation

- How to efficiently highlight key actions during exercise without pain?
 - Leverage motion signals to quickly highlight the meaningful content for action detection, avoiding redundant processing
 - Use an adaptive thresholding strategy in motion data streams





Solutions: LSTM-base MV Integration

- How to fuse and integrate the advantages of motion and vision sensing signals?
 - Integrate the highlighted M and V data via a uniform neural network to train an end-to-end model for classification





Player Action Recognition: Pipeline



Raw Sensor Data Stream

Offline Learning

Online Inference



Task 2: Ball State Measurement

- Goal
 - Measure all state includes tennis ball's position, speed, and spin, and it changes when the ball is flying or bouncing

Challenges

- The ball motion is affected by many forces such as gravity and air drag
 - The ball state is variable and we need to continuously track it during the ball's flight



Two Preliminaries

- Ball motion physics-based
 - Initial state when the ball is hit by a racket should be given
 - Analyze the physical process and calculate ball state at each timestamp
 - Heavily affected by the accuracy of the initial state as all following states are calculated from it

Purely vision-based

- Continuously track the ball's 3D location during its flight
- Very sensitive to environmental factors such as lighting conditions



Our Solution

- Two steps based on the integration of motion and visual sensing by formulating into an extended kalman filter (EKF) problem
 - Step1: Determine the roughly accurate ball state at the initial timestamp based on motion sensing of the player's racket
 - Step2: Adopt both ball state tracking methods mentioned above and combine their results optimally to produce accurate and robust tracking result



Ball Physical Process





Initial Ball State Measurement: Hitting

- Initial ball state is determined by the impulse of the racket when it hits the ball
- When the player waves the racket and hits the ball, motion sensors capture the force of the hit, which indicates the initial ball state





Extended Kalman Filter (EKF)

- EKF is the nonlinear version of the Kalman Filter which linearizes about an estimate of the current observed and predicted positions
 - Observation: visual-positioning-based position
 - Prediction: ball-motion-physics-based position

Algorithm 2 EKF-based Tracking at Timestamp t1: $\overrightarrow{S^{t|t-1}} \leftarrow \overrightarrow{f}(\overrightarrow{S^{t-1}})$ based on Eq. (4)2: $Sgm^{t|t-1} \leftarrow GSgm^{t-1}G^T + R$ 3: $K \leftarrow Sgm^{t|t-1}H^T(HSgm^{t|t-1}H^T + Q)^{-1}$ 4: $\overrightarrow{S^t} \leftarrow \overrightarrow{S^{t|t-1}} + K(\overrightarrow{O^t} - H\overrightarrow{S^{t|t-1}})$ 5: $Sgm^t \leftarrow (I - KH)Sgm^{t|t-1}$ 6: return $\overrightarrow{S^t}, Sgm^t$



System Implementation

- Motion part
 - Wearable motion tracking device purchased from mbientlab
 - Accelerometer and gyroscope data
- Vision part
 - Two cheap USB cameras with 1280 x 720 resolution and 60 FPS
- Back-end
 - PC with NVIDIA Tesla M40 GPU





• Ground truth

(a) Camera sensor

(b) Wearable motion sensor

- Bushnell-101921 velocity speed gun to measure ball speed
- High-end cameras with 240 FPS to measure ball spin



Evaluation on Player Action Recognition

- 10 participants, 5 typical actions in tennis, 50 samples for each action
- Results from 2,500 video clips and motion samples achieve average classification accuracy of 98%, which outperforms conventional pure motion-based or pure vision-based methods





Evaluation on Ball State Measurement

- 100 ball trajectories with different initial speeds and spins
- Compare MV-Sports with pure vision-based approach
- The error is defined as the average difference between the evaluated approach and the ground truth





Average Processing Time

Procedure	MV-Sports (ms/frame)
Highlight Segmentation	0.2
Player Localization	5.0
Feature Extraction	16.2
Online Action Classification	1.2
Sum	22.6

TABLE I

AVERAGE PROCESSING TIME OF EACH FRAME IN DIFFERENT STAGES OF ACTION RECOGNITION

Procedure	MV-Sports (ms/frame)	Vision-based (ms/frame)
Processing Time	10.3	10

TABLE II

AVERAGE PROCESSING TIME OF EACH FRAME OF BALL STATE MEASUREMENT



Summary

- We design MV-Sports that leverages MV data to achieve satisfactory sports analysis driven by data and algorithms
- We implement MV-Sports in a tennis court with COTS devices with high accuracy and real-time performance

The fusion of design, strategy, technology and data to improve Smart Sports Analysis is important in our INFOCOM community!



Thanks! Questions?

Back up slides



Ball Motion Physics: Flying

• When the ball is flying, it is affected by three forces: Gravity, air drag, magnus force



$$\begin{cases} \left\| \overrightarrow{F_G}^t \right\| = mg \\ \overrightarrow{F_D}^t = -\frac{1}{2}\rho C_D A \left\| \overrightarrow{V^t} \right\| \overrightarrow{V^t} \Longrightarrow \overrightarrow{f}(\cdot) = \begin{cases} \overrightarrow{P^t} = \overrightarrow{P^{t-1}} + \overrightarrow{V^{t-1}} \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\overrightarrow{F_1}^{t-1}}{m} \Delta t^2 \\ \overrightarrow{F_M}^t = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\rho C_L D^3 \overrightarrow{\omega^t} \times \overrightarrow{V^t} \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\overrightarrow{f}(\cdot)} = \begin{cases} \overrightarrow{P^t} = \overrightarrow{P^{t-1}} + \overrightarrow{V^{t-1}} \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\overrightarrow{F_1}^{t-1}}{m} \Delta t^2 \\ \overrightarrow{V^t} = \overrightarrow{V^{t-1}} + \frac{\overrightarrow{F_1}^{t-1}}{m} \Delta t \\ \overrightarrow{\omega^t} = \overrightarrow{\omega^{t-1}} \end{cases}$$



Ball Motion Physics: Bouncing

- The friction between and ball and the ground as well as the elastic deformation are main reasons for the ball state change
 - Vertically
 - $V_z{}^o = C_b V_z{}^i$
 - Horizontally

